Teachers Job Satisfaction and Quality Assurance: The Balance Fallacy of Higher Education

Date de réception : 2020-01-12 Date d'acceptation : 2020-09-02

Ikhlas Gherzouli, Université Mohamed Lamine Debaghine Sétif2, ghikhlas@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Quality of Higher Education is one of the most important factors for the creation of ideal citizens and the development of peaceful nations. In recent years, Algerian higher education has come under immense pressure to react more quickly to the changing global higher educational contexts and their quality assurance requirements. Henceforth, national quality assurance (QA) systems became operational in almost all higher education institutions. The ultimate goal of these systems is to provide quality education and enhance learning outcomes. Nevertheless, it is usually difficult to apply the features of quality to higher education without prior estimation of the factors affecting teachers well-being. Teachers would deliver quality instruction to students, only if they are satisfied with their jobs. Besides, job satisfaction is a desired indicator of universities success. This paper sought specifically to determine teachers' level of satisfaction and investigate the influence of job security, working conditions, and support material on their overall satisfaction. The study was guided by Herzberg Two-Factor Theory of Satisfaction and used a descriptive survey design. The population was English language teachers of the department of English language and literature, at Mohamed Lamine Debaghine Sétif2 University. Purposeful sampling was used in this study, resulting in a sample of 31 teachers. Data was collected through an online self-designed survey questionnaire and analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 23. The findings identified support material as the first job dissatisfier followed by working conditions and job security. The study recommends among others for the government to address teachers' problems through providing adequate teaching facilities, comforting physical services, promotion and accommodation.

Key words: Higher education, job satisfaction, job security, quality assurance, support material, working conditions **Résumé**

La qualité de l'enseignement supérieur est l'un des facteurs les plus importants pour la formation de citoyens idéaux et le développement de nations pacifiques. Ces dernières années, l'enseignement supérieur en Algérie a subi une immense pression pour répondre aux conditions mondiales changeantes qui nécessitent une assurance qualité. Par conséquent, les systèmes nationaux d'assurance qualité (AQ) sont devenus opérationnels dans presque tous les établissements d'enseignement supérieur. Le but ultime de ces systèmes est de fournir une éducation de qualité et d'améliorer les résultats d'apprentissage. Néanmoins, il est généralement difficile d'appliquer les caractéristiques de la qualité à l'enseignement supérieur sans une évaluation préalable des facteurs affectant le bien-être des enseignants. Les enseignants dispenseraient un enseignement de qualité aux étudiants uniquement s'ils sont satisfaits de leur emploi. En outre, la satisfaction au travail est un indicateur souhaité du succès des universités. Cet article vise à déterminer le niveau de satisfaction des enseignants et à enquêter sur l'influence de la sécurité au travail, des conditions de travail et du matériel de support sur leur satisfaction globale. L'étude a été guidée par la théorie des deux Facteurs de Herzberg et a utilisé une conception d'enquête descriptive. La population était des enseignants d'Anglais du département de langue et littérature anglaises de l'Université Mohamed Lamine Debaghine Sétif2. Un échantillonnage intentionnel a été utilisé dans cette étude, résultant en un échantillon de 31 enseignants. Les données ont été collectées au moyen d'un questionnaire d'enquête en ligne conçu par nos soins et les analyses ont été effectuées à l'aide du programme SPSS (version 23). Les résultats ont identifié le matériel de support comme le premier élément insatisfaisant, suivi des conditions de travail et de la sécurité. L'étude recommande, entre autres, au gouvernement de s'attaquer aux problèmes des enseignants en fournissant des installations

Mots clés: enseignement supérieur, satisfaction au travail, sécurité au travail, assurance qualité, matériel de support, conditions de travail.

الهلخص

تعد جودة التعليم العالي واحدة من أهم العوامل لخلق مواطنين مثاليين وتنهية دول مسالهة. في السنوات الأخيرة ، تعرض التعليم العالي في الجزائر لضغوط كبيرة للاستجابة للظروف العالمية المتغيرة التي تتطلب ضهان الجودة. ونتيجة لذلك ، أصبحت أنظهة ضهان الجودة الوطنية عاملة في جميع مؤسسات التعليم العالي تقريبًا ، هدفها الأساسي هو توفير تعليم جيد وتحسين نتائج التعلم. ومع ذلك ، من الصعب عمومًا تطبيق خصائص الجودة على التعليم العالي دون تقييم مسبق للعوامل التي تؤثر على رفاه الأساتذة ، إذا أنهم سيوفرون تعليما جيدا للطلبة فقط إذا كانوا راضين في عملهم ، لاسيما وأن الرضا في العمل هو مؤشر مرغوب فيه لنجاح الجامعات. يهدف هذا المقال إلى تحديد مستوى رضا الأساتذة والتحقيق في تأثير الأمن في العمل وظروف العمل والوسائل البيداغوجية على رضاهم بشكل عام. وقد استرشدت الدراسة بنظرية العاملين لهيرزبرج واستخدمت تصميم المسح الوصفي. بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، تشكلت العينة من أساتذة اللغة الإنجليزية في قسم اللغة والأدب الإنجليزي بجامعة خُد لمين دباغين سطيف 2 ، المسح الوصفي. بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، تشكلت العينة من أساتذة اللغة الإنجليزية في قسم اللغة والأدب الإنجليزي بجامعة خُد لمين دباغين سطيف 2 ، التعليلات باستخدام برنامج SPSS (الإصدار 23). كشفت النتائج أن الوسائل البيداغوجية هي أول عنصر غير مرض ، تليها ظروف العمل والأمن. في الخيار ، وسي الدراسة بأن تعالج الحكومة مشكلات الأساتذة من خلال توفير المرافق التعليمية المناسبة ، والخدمات المريحة ، والترقيات ، والإقامة . الكلمات المفاتح العمل ، الرضا في العمل ، ضمان الجودة ، الوسائل البيداغوجية ، ظروف العمل . الكلمات المفات المؤاتيع: التعليم العالى ، الرضا في العمل ، الأمن في العمل ، ضمان الجودة ، الوسائل البيداغوجية ، ظروف العمل . الكلمات المحات المؤلم العمل ، الرضا في العمل ، الأمن في العمل ، ضمان الجودة ، الوسائل البيداغوجية ، ظروف العمل . الكلمات المؤلم العمل ، ضمان الجودة ، الوسائل البيداغوجية ، ظروف العمل .

1. Introduction

Algeria, like other countries in the world, has always been striving for quality in teaching and learning to suit the future demands of the society as well as the demands of globalization. Therefore, an urgent need for the establishment of a QA system for Algerian higher education institutions has become mandatory. On January 27, 2008, the Algerian government enforced the application of QA in higher education institutions via a significant ministerial instruction (Ministerial Instruction No. 01 of January 27, 2008). The QA system was expected to enable the Algerian universities to perform properly their functions in serving the country and supporting its progress.

QA manifests itself in the form of an internal as well as an external system. The external QA system provides the national framework within which the various institutional internal QA systems operate. Both QA systems are monitored by two governmental bodies: the National Commission for Implementing Quality Assurance (CIAQES), and the National Assessment Committee (CNE). These bodies were established as legal entities as part of a series of Ministerial Orders, where the first Order entered in force in 2010 (Order No. 167 of May 31, 2010; Order No. 2004 of December 29, 2014).

The CIAQES and CNE are supposed to work together to upgrade the Algerian universities' performance through ensuring the application of the QA standards in compliance with the internationally recognized accreditation and QA canons. Nevertheless, both bodies show a number of significant differences in their quality assurance responsibilities and mode of operation in the higher education area.

By virtue of the law of higher education, the CIAQES is chiefly responsible for the development of quality assurance practices in academic institutions and the units therein. The CIAQES statutory requirements include: preparing a national framework for QA and undertaking a range of internal assessment and external reviews. The national QA framework is a conceptual model that set prior regulations to be used for classifying and analyzing the operational activities of higher education institutions for the purpose of quality assurance and hence its implementation, adaptation and follow-up. The model incorporates seven areas: Teaching; Research; Governance; Infrastructures; Life

on Campus; Relations with the Socio-Economic Environment; and International Cooperation.

As to the CNE, this has the responsibility for, and makes recommendations to the Ministry of Higher Education on, matters relating to the management of policy and planning for operations relating to assessment. These operations include, but are not limited to the following: assessment of the effectiveness of the QA arrangements operated by higher education institutions; establishment of quality standards; examination of institutions' internal assessment reports; supervision of the teams in charge of internal assessment and networking with QA bodies throughout the world.

QA can indeed be a carter for Algerian institutions to achieve excellence in higher education as long as the objectives, procedures, and scope of the QA system are in line with the suggestions and recommendations of both local and transnational expert commissions. Yet, mechanisms for QA implementation and efforts to provide quality education will prove fruitless if not all stakeholders and policy makers pay attention to teachers' job satisfaction.

Low job satisfaction undesirably affects the efficiency level of even highly qualified and skilled teachers, and results in teachers' absenteeism, stress, lack of enthusiasm for the job, irregularity, shortage of commitment, adverse performance, work sabotage, theft, burnout, and turnover (Bennell & Akyeampong, 2007; Chamundeswari, 2013; Cohen & Aya, 2010; Sonmezer & Eryaman, 2008). Job dissatisfaction has also undulate effects on students' academic growth (Umme, 1999). However, given an adequate training and development, promotion, remuneration, appropriate working conditions and sufficient support material will certainly boost teachers' motivation; enhance quality instructional provision, quality feedback; and guarantee OA in higher education.

Teachers are often blamed for lower students' performance without comprehending the factors influencing them and their effectiveness. Besides, teacher effectiveness does not depend only on the teachers. Hence, the purpose of this paper is to address some of those factors which might act as a barrier to teachers' motivation, effectiveness and satisfaction, and quality assurance in higher education. Specifically, this paper investigates the influence of job security, working conditions, and support material on teachers job

satisfaction. To achieve its aims, the study purports to answer these two questions:

- 1) What is the level of teachers job satisfaction in terms of job security, working environment (conditions), and support material?
- 2) Is there a significant difference between teachers of different: age, marital status, teaching experience and educational level?

2. Literature review

The literature review is divided into the following themes: concept of job satisfaction in relation to teaching; relationship between job satisfaction and variables of: job security, working conditions, and support material. This paper is premised on the assumption that teachers job dissatisfaction is a barrier to quality assurance.

Job satisfaction is not a new research topic at all. Many studies have been conducted on this particular topic. The literature on job satisfaction can be traced back to the beginning of industrial revolution in UK. Scholars at that time focused their research on maximizing workers output and prescribed many solutions to address the challenges of job satisfaction. Having clear daily tasks, standard conditions of work and high pay for well accomplished work, to name but few, were considered prerequisites for an increased workers production (Taylor, 1976). However, when it comes to defining the construct, one can find no universally accepted definition of job satisfaction.

Locke (1969) defined job satisfaction as a positive or pleasant emotional state resulting from a person's appreciation of his or her own job or experience. Strumpfer (1998) defined job satisfaction as the individual's cognitive, affective and evaluative reactions towards their job. According to Tasnim (2006), job satisfaction is the extent to which one feels good about the job. When linked to teaching, job satisfaction was defined as the sense of fulfillment and gratification teachers' experience through their work (Locke, 1969).

Newstrom (2007) described job satisfaction as the particular views of employees, which are affected by the favourable and unfavourable (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2014) feelings and attachments of one's work. Similarly, Kumari (2011) in his research on job satisfaction of some employees at the workplace

indicated that job satisfaction is a set of favourable or unfavourable feelings and emotions with which those employees view their work. According to the writer, a person with high level of job satisfaction holds positive feelings about the job, while a person who is dissatisfied with his/her job holds negative feelings about the job.

Klassen, Usher and Bong (2010) stated that job satisfaction is an individual's perceptions of fulfillment and enjoyment derived from work. According to Okoye (2011, as cited in Obineli, 2013), job satisfaction is simply how an individual is opposed with his or her job. Likewise, Lu, Liang, Li and He (2014) view job satisfaction as the employees' or workers' feelings and state of mind towards the nature of their work. Job satisfaction includes judgments of the job as a whole, possibly including multiple facets such as the work itself, pay and other returns, advancement, supervision and co-workers. There are many factors counting job security that upset job satisfaction.

Past literature found that job security is most often cited as the most important factor from a list of seven specific job attributes (Clark, 2001). Some literature revealed that job stability and job security are the two most important indicators of job satisfaction (Tangian, 2007). Job security is understood as people's perceptions of their future in their current job from both positive and negative perspectives (Sverke, De Witte, Näswall, & Hellgren, 2010). In other words, it is one's expectation about continuity in a job situation. It has to do with workers feeling over job loss and related looked-for features, such as lack of advancement and opportunities, promotion undesirable working conditions and professional development opportunities.

Theodossiou and Vasileiou (2007) advocated that the higher job satisfaction of workers is, the more readiness these latter are to proclaim their jobs as secure. Other researchers proved that job security prompts workers commitment in any work situation (Lambert, 1991; Iverson, 1996). Quite the reverse, workforces who perceive risk of job security may become less committed to their job and might even end in quitting it. Literature has theorized job insecurity as: a subjective experience (different workers might perceive the same situation differently); which implies indecision regarding the future; and reservations about the maintenance of the job (De Witte, 1999).

Other researchers contested that job insecurity refers not only to the degree of uncertainty, but also to the continuity of certain job dimensions, such as opportunities for promotion and professional development (Greenhalgh & Rosenblatt, 1984). The phenomenon of job insecurity is also recognized as a form of job-related stressor that is hypothetically damaging to workers' job attitudes and behaviours.

Person-Environment Fit Theory assumes that stress occurs because of one of two types of misfit between the individual and the environment. The first type refers to the fit between the burdens of the environment and the capacities and proficiencies of the persons. The second type refers to the fit between the person's needs and provisions from the environment (Edwards, Caplan, & Harrison, 1998). Literature shows that job insecurity like any other stressor, has a damaging impact on workers' job satisfaction.

The *working conditions* or work environment conditions refer to the environment in which one works. It covers a variety of issues such as: temperature, humidity, ventilation, lighting, noise, work load, organizational culture, offices, provision of adequate space, classrooms, school operating procedures, collegial cooperation, physical and social infrastructure such as roads, water, electricity, cleanliness of the workplace, safety, closeness of the workplace to home, and adequate instructional equipment (Hanushek, & Rivkin, 2003; Leithwood, 2006; Wells, 2000).

Working environmental conditions are regarded important in influencing the job satisfaction of teachers. If these conditions are not adequate, they will negatively affect job satisfaction (Jordan, Miglič, Todorović, & Marič, 2017). However, if teachers are provided with these conditions, this can improve their effectiveness, enhance their commitment to teaching and endorse their job satisfaction.

Research on job satisfaction suggests that work place environment has a positive and momentous association with the mental and physical well-being of employees (Buchel, Melgar, Rossi & Smith, 2010; Donald & Siu, 2001; Halpert, 2011; Hui et al., 2014; Nie, Chua, Yeung, Ryan, Chan, 2015; Van Den Berghe et al., 2014). Indeed, clean and attractive surroundings' tend to make workers happy when doing their job and hence increase their satisfaction. Workers are usually satisfied when the work place is organized with

satisfactory tools, and materials, whereas poor equipment and facilities will lead to pressure and tension among employees (Hanushek, & Rivkin, 2003).

Literature on working conditions suggests that these latter play a critical role in shaping the supply of qualified teachers; influencing their decisions about remaining in the profession (Plunkett & Dyson, 2011); reducing their turnover; and developing a high performance work force (Orodho & Waweru, 2013). Yet, if the working conditions are not advantageous, if the workload is heavy, hardworking employees who can find better jobs elsewhere leave easily (Demirdag, 2015; Hanushek, & Rivkin, 2003). In contrast, teachers who cannot find better opportunities will be forced to improvise in order to make learning effective.

The availability of *support material* is also considered an essential factor that enables individuals (teachers) to incur job satisfaction (Korb & Akintunde, 2013). In teaching, the essence of support material is to facilitate the teaching learning process. Instructional technology materials, including computers, internet, audio and video materials, and others, help teachers solve educational difficulties and concerns, such as students' lack of motivation, disciplinary problems, basic skills, and critical thinking. The availability of such materials helps teachers implement a student-centred approach.

While working on quality assurance practices, higher education institutions are ideally expected to develop internal quality cultures which take into their account both institutional realities organizational culture. Thus, failing to do so, and in the absence of the aforementioned job-related factors that guarantee quality assurance, and under stressful conditions, teachers might be challenged to maintain their job performance. Indeed, the relevance of teachers satisfaction and motivation are very crucial to the longterm growth of Algerian educational system. Yet, one should remember that while some teachers will be highly satisfied with particular conditions of the job, other teachers may find the same conditions extremely dissatisfying.

In this paper, the following definitions are adopted. Job satisfaction refers to how the selected participants (teachers) feel about their job, and what conditions they are satisfied or unsatisfied with. Job security is defined as an indicator that focused on items

regarding promotion and reclassification, recognition, salaries and benefits. Work environment is defined as an indicator that emphasized items regarding university management policies and physical facilities (hygiene, hitting, lighting, and ventilation). In this study support material is defined as study material, as well as infrastructure such as classrooms, offices, and instructional technology.

3. Theoretical Framework

This research is anchored in Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory of Satisfaction, also referred to as Hertzberg's motivation-hygiene theory. In the late 1950s, Frederick Herzberg interviewed a group of employees (200 accountants and engineers) to find out what made them satisfied or dissatisfied about their job. He asked his participants two essential questions: (a) Think of a time you felt especially good about your job? Why did you feel that way? (b) Think of a time when you felt bad about your job? Why did you feel that way? Herzberg assumed that there are two discrete factors that must be employed to evaluate job satisfaction and dissatisfaction. From the interview responses, Herzberg developed a theory, based on two dimensions of job satisfaction: motivation and hygiene.

According to Herzberg, the hygiene factors are extrinsic (not related to the job itself) and cannot cause satisfaction. Yet, these can change dissatisfaction into no dissatisfaction or short term motivation. Motivational factors, such as advancement, growth, recognition, responsibilities and accomplishment, have on the contrary long lasting effect as they raise workers positive feelings towards job and convert dissatisfaction into satisfaction. Moreover, in the absence of hygiene factors, such as working conditions, pay, job security, work relationships, and organizational politics, the employees chances of getting dissatisfied increase (Herzberg, Mausner, & Snyderman, 1959). Nevertheless, these cannot support satisfaction or motivate workers (Tyson, 2015).

4. Conceptual framework of the study

Based on the review of literature, the present writer developed a conceptual framework, where the independent variables are: job security, working conditions, and support material; and the dependent variable is: job satisfaction indicators (quality of instruction, motivation). These variables are a reflector

of Herzberg's Two-Factor theory. There are also intervening variables between the dependent and independent variables. These are demographic factors counting gender, age, marital status, education level and teaching experience (in terms of number of years). These factors intervene between job satisfaction and job related factors (job security, work conditions, support material). The stronger the job related factors of teachers are, the higher the level of their job satisfaction factor might be.

5. Research gap

Very few studies about job satisfaction and dissatisfaction have been conducted in Algeria. Those studies differed in aim and scope. Some studies aimed at: examining the relationship between employees' and performance in SORALCHINE motivation Business Company (Gourida, 2011); or defining the correlation between job satisfaction and Algerian excellence model (Saidi & Sari, 2015). Other studies aimed at highlighting the impact of job satisfaction on employees' loyalty and commitment in SONELGAZ (a national society for electricity and gas) (Mokaddem, Slimani & Douli, 2017); or examining the relationship between job satisfaction and performance of Algerian pharmacists (Adjali, 2017). Recent studies investigated the impact of job satisfaction on employees' performance and motivation (Guidoum & Haidra, 2018) as well as the influence of the system of benefits and social services on employees job satisfaction of public companies (Ammam, 2018).

The scope of the existing literature was limited to understanding the job satisfaction of employees and its relationship with different variables in business, management, environment, religious affairs and endowments, sports and scientific sectors. Yet, to the best knowledge of the present writer, no one study was conducted in higher education or has related job satisfaction to teachers' job security, working conditions, support material or even to quality assurance. Thus, this paper aims at filling the existing research gap by making a significant, novel contribution to the field of higher education.

6. Methodology

6.1. Research design

The present study is exploratory in nature. It does not aim at verifying hypotheses or testing the

conceptual framework. The study used the descriptive design because it looks at the phenomena of job satisfaction and its related issues the way they are. Moreover, this design is used because it examines the problem at hand thoroughly to define it, clarify it and obtain pertinent information that can be of use to faculty and university management.

6.2. Target population

The target population consisted of all civil service employers (English language teachers), who were appointed by the Faculty of Letters and Languages' board at the department of English language and literature, at Mohamed Lamine Debaghine Sétif2 University. The target population considered only tenured teachers, as these have certain guaranteed rights (salary, promotion, scientific leaves, etc) that protect them from losing their jobs for unsubstantial reasons.

Both probationary teachers and members of the administration were excluded from the whole population. Probationary teachers were excluded as they are new to the job, they are not yet paid and are being watched and tested before tenure (after 12 months) or not. To ensure objectivity and reliability of the results, the administration staff members were not invited as they are members of the faculty and university management, and might be conservative to raise issues relating to their dissatisfaction.

6.3. Sample procedure and sample size

The participants of the study were drawn from a non-probability homogenous purposive sample. In this sampling procedure the sample is one that is selected for having a shared characteristic or set of characteristics. The homogeneous sample in this study was created on the basis of job effectiveness. In other words, only effective or highly effective, not to say the best teachers, were invited to participate in the online self-designed survey questionnaire. This gave a total sample size of 31 teachers.

The participants (n = 31) share certain qualities that set them apart from the mediocre teachers. The participants are serious, fair, enthusiastic, accessible, involved in the department's committees and demonstrate commitment both to their work and the university as a whole. The 31 teachers were all invited individually to participate in the survey questionnaire by email. The questionnaire required few minutes to

complete. The main data collection window was fifteen days (12/26/19 to 01/09/20) of the 2019/20 academic year. The study yielded a response rate of 27 teachers (90%) of the total effective teachers identified. Yet, two incomplete survey responses were discarded.

6.4. Data collection instruments

Data collection took place by means of an online survey. The questionnaire was used to determine the level of job satisfaction among teacher respondents. The questionnaire consisted of two main sections. The first section measured personal information. This section has 5 questions on demographics all based on the nominal scale. Items of section B measured the three key variables (job security, working conditions, and support material). All constructs were rated on a five-point Likert-type response scale, ranging from strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, and strongly agree and each construct entailed six items. All statistical analysis was performed through the help of SPSS.

6.5. Validity and Reliability of the instruments

The present writer ensured that the questionnaire measured what it intended to measure and that the items adequately represented concepts that covered all relevant constructs under investigation. For reliability purposes, a pilot study was conducted. Five teachers from another department were given a printed version of the questionnaire for the trial. The length of the questionnaire was noted during the pilot study and this helped for the modification of the last version. To determine the reliability of the questionnaire, the alpha value was computed for each factor using SPSS version 23. The Cronbach alpha of all the constructs (as it is shown in the table below) were greater than 0.60 indicating acceptable internal consistency.

Table 1 Reliability Statistics

	Cronbach's Alpha Based on	
Cronbach's Alpha	Standardized Items	N of Items
,672	,752	18

6.6. Ethical considerations

The respondents were informed of the purpose of the study. Each respondent was invited individually to fill the online questionnaire and was assured that any information s/he provided would be treated strictly confidential and that reports on the results would not include data that could identify individuals.

7. Discussion of the Results

For a logical presentation of the findings, the results are presented and discussed as a whole from the respondents.

Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents

findings indicated that 19 The study respondents (76%) were females whereas six respondents (24%) were males. This shows that the majority of the respondents were females, which is also the case for the whole department of English Language and Literature. The findings show that 13 respondents (52%) are aged between 31-35 years, four respondents (16%) are aged between 25-30 years, four respondents (16%) are aged between 36-40 years, two respondents (8%) are aged between 41-45 years, and the remaining two respondents (8%) are over 45 years in age. Classification of the respondents reveals therefore that the majority of teachers are young; that is 16 of them (66.7%) are in the age group of 35 and below, and six (25%) are middle aged (between 36 and 45).

Demographic statistics on marital status shows that the majority of the respondents (18; 72%) are married, while seven (28%) are single. The respondents were categorized into four groups depending on their educational qualification (Magister degree, Doctorate ES.S degree, Doctorate LMD degree, and Professoriate). The results show that the majority (16) of respondents (64%) hold a Magister degree. Eight teachers are doctors and one respondent is a professor.

With respect to the length of service, findings show that 14 (56%) teachers have between 6 to 10 years of teaching experience, nine teachers (36%) have between 1 to 5 years of teaching, one teacher has more than 11 years of teaching and one has over than 21 years of teaching experience. The respondents in this study are moderately experienced teachers.

Job Security

The questionnaire's section on job security sought to establish how job opportunities, promotion, independence in teaching, salary and benefits influence teachers (Ts) job satisfaction. The findings show that most teachers (15 Ts; 60%) believe that the teaching

job increases their responsibility and commitment, and gives (13 Ts; 52%) them freedom and independence in completing it. Moreover, most of the respondents (14 Ts; 56%) are satisfied with the opportunities the job offers for their career advancement. Teachers (12 Ts; 48%) also agree that the job gives them the chance to be reclassified. Nevertheless, it was stated by almost all (24 Ts; 96%) respondents that their salaries were not reflective of their dedication. In addition, twenty two respondents (68%) believe that the benefits received by the job are not good as most other universities.

Working Conditions

Findings from the study show that teachers rate the working conditions as demotivating. Most teachers (23 Ts; 92%) are dissatisfied with current university (and department) maintenance, human resources management, as well as (22 Ts; 88%) hygiene, heating, lighting and the absence of ventilation. Respondents (20 Ts; 80%) do not even feel comfortable raising issues about their working conditions since, according to them (18 Ts; 72%), the university management does not support teachers consistently. The findings also indicate that teachers (18 Ts; 72%) are dissatisfied with the disrespectful working environment they work in. Teachers (17 Ts; 68%) claimed that the faculty and staff do not share the same views on educational objectives.

Support Material

Teachers (24 Ts; 96%) identified the problem of access to instructional technology (computers, printers, software, and internet access) as a major problem. Moreover, teachers (23 Ts; 92%) rated their dissatisfaction with the poor infrastructure including the shortage of technical support in classes; office equipment and supplies; and study material (22 Ts; 88%). Teachers also believe that class sizes are too large (21 Ts; 84%) to be conductive to a good teaching and learning environment (20 Ts; 80%).

The low level of teachers job satisfaction was also due to other factors cited in teachers comments. These include: mistrust, weak social interaction, students' attitudes or indifference towards teachers, lack of in-service training, lack of collegial coordination, poor remuneration, problem with salary scales, lack of vision by authority, lack of appreciation for work done, favourism in promotion and training leaves, lack of

accommodation, and high work load. One of the respondents commented:

'To feel comfortable at work enhances motivation. To be listened to in the way we are in international conferences is necessary. To have meeting hours with staff members in the same specialties is necessary. To share time with students is also necessary. To have a guiding psychologist is necessary for students and teachers as well. To have more teachers and less students in classes could help a lot in the assessment of works and in the improvement of language competencies as well as content tasks. It is also important to give time to teachers for research by allowing for teaching hours to be grouped and giving free weeks entirely devoted to research. To teach is not to work as if in a factory and to simply produce regardless of the end product. Quality time for quality teaching is a target too often neglected'

8. Conclusions and Implications

Teacher job satisfaction is paramount, as this may influence the productivity of teachers in higher education. The findings of this study adequately explain the influence of job security, working conditions, and support material on the level of job satisfaction of teachers. The study has revealed that there are many scarcities in work conditions, such as overcrowded classes, inadequate workplace and lack of instructional materials, to name but few. The study has also revealed that all teachers reported their dissatisfaction about the same challenges regardless of their gender, age, educational level, length of service, and their marital The results suggest therefore that the demographic factors of respondents did not intervene with the level of job satisfaction or dissatisfaction of the present study's participants.

From the findings of the study many recommendations are advanced. First, policy makers should recognize the motivational needs of teachers, such as promotion, in-service training, housing and good salaries to promote teachers efficiency for quality outcomes. Second, the working environment must be provided with enough physical facilities such as clean classes, modern and appropriate tools for work, offices and staff rooms, electricity, water and upgraded technology. Moreover, the university and faculty management are urged to provide adequate instructional

material for teachers to enhance teacher job satisfaction as well as students' learning.

The university and faculty management are expected to provide a greater level of support and understanding for teachers to understand their needs and provide solutions to address those needs. This can be achieved, for instance, through an organization of regular sessions in which open and honest talk can take place between teachers and the whole university management. This would help reduce teachers' feelings of pressure and contribute to their job satisfaction. Indeed, the teacher being an instrument of quality assurance requires physical, psychological and social well-being.

9. Limitations

This study had several limitations that could be addressed in future research. First, it has limited sample size, so the findings may not be generalizable to all highly effective teachers. Second, some of the replies from the respondents may be biased. Moreover, the scope of the study was restricted to the English language teachers of the department of English Language and Literature, and may not be generalizable to other teachers of the other departments. In addition to that, the use of the questionnaire as the principle method of getting information may have few limitations. Lastly, this study did not seek to understand all of the factors that may influence a highly effective teacher's level of job satisfaction. The study investigated only eighteen items of three constructs.

10. Future Directions for Research

The current research topic could be researched further through qualitative methods and data analysis techniques for a more thorough understanding of the phenomenon of university teachers job satisfaction.

References

Adjali, D. (2017). The role of employee satisfaction in enhancing employee' performance—An empirical study on a sample of pharmacies of the state of Khenchela (Algeria). *Revue des Sciences Humaines*, 28(4), 5-23.

Ammam, R. (2018). The impact of the system of benefits and social services on job satisfaction in Algerian institutions. Strategy and Development Review, 8(15), 125-142.

Bennel, P., & Akyeampong, K. (2007). Teacher motivation in sub-Sahara Africa and South Asia. London, UK: Department for International Development.

Bucheli, M., Melgar, N., Rossi, M. & Smith, T. (2010). Job satisfaction and the individual educational level, re-assessing their relationship. *International Journal of Arts and Sciences*, *4*(12), 255–266.

Chamundeswari, S. (2013). Job satisfaction and performance of school teachers. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *3*(5), 420-428.

Clark, A.E. (2001). What really matters in a job? Hedonic measurement using quit data. Labour Economics 8(2), 223-242.

Cohen, A., and Aya, H. (2010). The relationship between organizational socialization and commitment in the workplace among employees in long-term nursing care facilities. *Personnel Review*, 39(5), 537-556.

De Witte, H. (1999). Job insecurity and psychological well-being: Review of the literature and exploration of some unresolved issues. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(2), 155-177.

Demirdag, S. (2015). Assessing teacher self-efcacy and job satisfaction: Middle school teachers. *Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies in the World, 5*(3), 35-43.

Donald, I., & Siu, O. (2001). Moderating the stress impact of environmental conditions: The effect of organizational commitment in Hong Kong and China. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *21*(4), 353-368. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.2001.0229

Edwards, J., Caplan, R., & Harrison, V. (1998). Person-environment fit theory: Conceptual foundations, empirical evidence, and directions for future research. In C. L. Cooper (Ed.), *Theories of organizational stress.* (pp. 28-67). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Gourida, S. (2011). Employee motivation, job satisfaction and the relationship with corporate culture: A case study of chinese and Algerian employees in SORALCHINE joint venture corporation — Algeria. Available at SSRN: $\frac{1}{1000}$ https://ssrn.com/abstract=2176284

GOUVERNEMENT ALGERIEN. *Instruction N° 01 du 27 janvier 2008 du Chef du Gouvernement sur l'assurance qualité de l'enseignement supérieur.* Retrieved from https://www.mesrs.dz/fr/assurance-qualite

Greenhalgh, G.L., & Rosenblatt, Z. (1984). Job insecurity: Toward conceptual clarity. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(3), 438-448.

Haidra, W., & Guidoum, A. (2018). Job satisfaction determinants and its impact on the achievement motivation among the guidance school counselors in Algeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(9), 24-29.

Halpert, M. (2011). Factors that influence the satisfaction levels of teachers in urban school districts. Doctoral Dissertation, Arizona State University.

Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2003). Pay, working conditions, and teacher quality. The Future of Children, 17(1), 69-86.

Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). The motivation to work (2nd ed.). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.

Hui, H., Jenatabadi, H. S., Ismail, B., Azina, N., Radzi, W. M., & Jasimah, C. W. (2014). Principal's leadership style and teacher job satisfaction: A case study in China. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, *5*(4), 175–184.

Iverson, R.D. (1996). Employee acceptance of organizational change: The role of organizational commitment. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 7(1), 122-149.

Jordan, G., Miglič, G., Todorović, I., & Marič, M. (2017). Psychological empowerment, job satisfaction and organizational commitment among lecturers in higher education: Comparison of Six CEE Countries. *Organizacija*, *50*(1), 17-32. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/orga-2017-0004

Klassen, R. M., Usher, E. L., & Bong, M. (2010). Teachers' collective efficacy, job satisfaction, and job stress in cross-cultural context. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 78(4), 464-486.

Korb, K.A., & Akintunde, O.O. (2013). Exploring factors influencing teacher job satisfaction in Nigerian schools. *Nigerian Journal of Teacher Education and Training, 11*, 211-223.

Kumari, N. (2011). Job satisfaction of the employees at workplace. European Journal of Business and Management, 3(4), 11-30.

Lambert, S.J. (1991). The combined effects of job and family characteristics on job satisfaction, job involvement and intrinsic motivation of men and women workers. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour 12*(4), 341-563.

Leithwood, K. (2006). *Teachers working conditions that matter: Evidence for change*. Toronto, ON: Elementary Teachers' Federation of Ontario.

Locke, E. (1969). What is job satisfaction? *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 4(4), 309-336. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(69)90013-0.

Lu, H., Liang, B., Li, Y. and He, N. (2014). Professional commitment and job satisfaction: An analysis of the Chinese judicial reforms from the perspective of the criminal defense. *China Review*, 14(2), 159-181.

MINISTERE DE L'EDUCATION SUPERIEUR ET DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE. Arrêté ministériel N° 167 du 31 mai 2010 portant création d'une commission nationale d'implémentation d'un système d'assurance qualité dans le secteur de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique. Bulletin officiel de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique année 2010, 2^{ième} trimestre (abrogé).

MINISTERE DE L'EDUCATION SUPERIEUR ET DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE. Arrêté ministériel N° 2004 du 29 décembre 2014 portant création d'une commission d'implémentation d'un système d'assurance qualité dans le secteur de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique. Bulletin officiel de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique année 2014, 4^{ième} trimestre modifié par l'arrêté N° 761 du 17 juillet 2016. Bulletin officiel de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique année 2016, 3^{ième} trimestre.

Mokaddem, A., Slimani, I., & Douli, S. (2017). A field study of the impact of job satisfaction on organizational loyalty to workers Sonelgaz for the Distribution of Gas and Electricity Rural Béchar in Algeria. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management*, 4(1), 564-568.

Newstrom, J.W. (2007). Organisational behaviour-human behaviour at work (12th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw Hill International Edition.

Nie, Y., Chua, B. L., Yeung, A. S., Ryan, R. M., & Chan, W. Y. (2015). The importance of autonomy support and the mediating role of work motivation for well-being: Testing self-determination theory in a Chinese work organization. *International Journal of Psychology*, 50(4), 245-255.

Obineli, A. (2013). Teachers' perception of the factors affecting job satisfaction in Ekwusigo local government of Anambra state. *African Research Review*, 7(4), 225-237.

Orodho, A. J., & Waweru, P. N. (2013). Basic education in Kenya: Focus on strategies applied to cope with school-based challenges inhibiting effective implementation of curriculum. *International Journal of Education and Research 11*(1), 70-95.

Plunkett, M., & Dyson, M. (2011). Becoming a teacher and staying one: Examining the complex ecologies associated with educating and retaining new teachers in rural Australia? *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, *36*(1), 32-47. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2011v36n1.3

Saidi, Y., & Sari, A. (2015). Analyzing Relationship between Job Satisfaction and Algerian Excellence Model: A Study on Algerian Quality Award Winners. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 6(3), 600.

Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2014). Teacher self-efficacy and perceived autonomy: Relations with teacher engagement, job satisfaction, and emotional exhaustion. *Psychological Reports*, *114*(1), 68-77.

Sonmezer, M.G., & Eryaman, M.Y. (2008). A Comparative analysis of job satisfaction level of public and private school. *Journal of Theory and Practice in Education*, 4(2), 189-212.

Sverke, M., De Witte H., Näswall, K., & Hellgren, J. (2010). European perspectives on job insecurity: Editorial introduction. *Economic and Industrial Democracy 31*(2), 175–178.

Tangian, A. (2007). Is work in Europe decent? A study based on the 4th European Survey of Working Conditions 2005. WSI Discussion Paper No. 157, Wirtschafts-und Sozialwissenschaftliches Institut — Hans-Bockler-Stiftung. Dusseldorf.

Tasnim, S. (2006). *Job satisfaction among female teachers: A study on primary schools in Bangladesh.* (Masters' thesis). Retrieved from https://bora.uib.no/handle/1956/1474

Taylor, J. C. (1976). Job satisfaction and quality of working life: A reassessment. California, CA: Graduate School of Management UCLA.

Theodossiou, I., & Vasileiou, E. (2007). Making the risk of job loss a way of life: Does it affect job satisfaction? *Research in Economics* 61(2), 71-83.

Tyson, S. (2015). Essentials of human resource management (6th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.

Umme, K. (1999). A factor analytic study of job involvement of secondary school teachers in Bangalore city. *Experiments in Education*, 28(9), 159-163.

Van den Berghe, L., Soenens, B., Aelterman, N., Cardon, G., Tallir, I. B., & Haerens, L. (2014). Within-person profiles of teachers' motivation to teach: Associations with need satisfaction at work, need-supportive teaching, and burnout. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *15*(4), 407-417.

Wells, M.M. (2000). Office clutter or meaningful personal dis-plays: The role of office personalization in employee and organizational well-being. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 20, 239-255.